arXiv:1603.06176v1 [math.NT] 20 Mar 2016

On consecutive abundant numbers

Yong-Gao Chen, Hui Lv*

School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics,

Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China

Abstract. A positive integer n is called an abundant number if $\sigma(n) \geq 2n$, where $\sigma(n)$ is the sum of all positive divisors of n. Let $E(x)$ be the largest number of consecutive abundant numbers not exceeding x. In 1935, P. Erdős proved that there are two positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that $c_1 \log\log\log x \leq E(x) \leq c_2 \log\log\log x$. In this paper, we resolve this old problem by proving that, $E(x)/\log\log\log x$ tends to a limit as $x \to +\infty$, and the limit value has an explicit form which is between 3 and 4.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11N37, 11N60

Keywords and phrases: abundant numbers; perfect numbers; deficient numbers; sequences.

1 Introduction

Let $\sigma(n)$ is the sum of all positive divisors of n. A positive integer n is called an abundant number, a perfect number and a deficient number if $\sigma(n) \geq 2n$, $= 2n$ and $< 2n$, respectively. These numbers have brought extensive research. For example, abundant numbers have been studied in [1-15,17-19]. Let $E(x)$ be the largest number of consecutive abundant numbers not exceeding x. In 1935, P. Erdős [13] proved that there are two positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that $c_1 \log\log\log x \leq E(x) \leq c_2 \log\log\log x$. P. Erdős paid much attention to abundant numbers all his life (see [11-15]).

*ygchen@njnu.edu.cn(Y.-G. Chen)

In this paper, p always denotes a prime and (a,b) denotes the greatest common divisor of two integers a and b.

The following result is proved.

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{E(x)}{\log\log\log x}=(\log\varpi)^{-1},$$

where

$$\varpi=\inf\left(\prod_{i=1}^{M}\max\left\{\frac{2(i,M)}{\sigma((i,M))},1\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}.$$

Remark 1.2. *From the proof of the main theorem, we also have*

$$\varpi=\frac{1}{\beta}\sup\left(\prod_{i=1}^{M}\max\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)},2\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}},$$

where

$$\beta=\prod_{p}\prod_{t=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p^t}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^t}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}.$$

We have $\beta<1.56635$. *For* $M=4840909920000$, *we have*

$$\varpi\geq\frac{1}{\beta}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{M}\max\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)},2\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}>1.3267$$

and

$$\varpi\leq\left(\prod_{i=1}^{M}\max\left\{\frac{2(i,M)}{\sigma((i,M))},1\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}<1.3604.$$

It follows that

$$3.24<(\log\varpi)^{-1}<3.54.$$

It is not the aim of this paper to find a good numerical result.

Now we give an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.1. One of the main ideas in this paper is to introduce the following sequence:

$$\{(n,M)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

for any given positive integer M. This is a sequence of period length M. If M is divisible by all "small" prime powers, then two sequences

$$\left\{\frac{\sigma(n)}{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ and } \left\{\frac{\sigma((n,M))}{(n,M)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

are "similar" in a sense, but the late one is a sequence of period length M. I believe that the sequence $\{(n,M)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ will play an important role in the future research.

In Section 2, we give an upper bound of $E(x)$:

$$E(x) \le (\rho_1(M) + o(1)) \log\log\log x$$

for a function $\rho_1(M)$ and any given positive integer M. In Section 3, we give a lower bound of $E(x)$:

$$E(x) \ge (\rho_2(M) + o(1)) \log\log\log x$$

for a function $\rho_2(M)$ and any given positive integer M. In Section 4, it is proved that

$$\rho_1(M) = \rho_2(M) + o(1)$$

for infinitely many positive integers M. In Section 5, let $\rho_1 = \inf \rho_1(M)$ and $\rho_2 = \sup \rho_2(M)$. We finish the proof by proving that $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = (\log \varpi)^{-1}$.

Let α be a positive real number. A positive integer n is called an α-abundant number if $\sigma(n) \ge \alpha n$. Let $E_\alpha(x)$ be the largest number of consecutive α-abundant numbers not exceeding x.

The method in this paper can be used to prove the following analogous result.

Theorem 1.3. *We have*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{E_\alpha(x)}{\log\log\log x} = (\log \varpi_\alpha)^{-1},$$

where

$$\varpi_\alpha = \inf \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M} \max\left\{ \frac{(i,M)\alpha}{\sigma((i,M))}, 1 \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{M}}.$$

2 The upper bound of $E(x)$

In this section we prove that, for any given positive integer M,

$$E(x) \le (\rho_1(M) + o(1)) \log\log\log x$$

for all sufficient large x, where

$$\rho_1(M) = (\log \delta_M - \log \beta)^{-1},$$

$$\beta = \prod_p \prod_{t=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^t}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^t}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}$$

and

$$\delta_M = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M} \max\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)}, 2\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}.$$

It is clear that

$$\beta = \prod_p \prod_{t=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^t}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}.$$

Let $m, m+1, \ldots, m+k-1$ be consecutive abundant numbers not exceeding x. Let M be a positive integer. For any prime p and any positive integer t, let s_{p^t} be the number of integers in $m, m+1, \ldots, m+k-1$ which are divisible by p^t. Then

$$s_{p^t} = \frac{k}{p^t} + R_{p^t}, \quad |R_{p^t}| \le 1. \tag{2.1}$$

If $p^t > m+k-1$, then $s_{p^t} = 0$.

For any two positive integers a, b with $a \mid b$, we have

$$\frac{\sigma(a)}{a} \le \frac{\sigma(b)}{b}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\sigma((m+i,M))}{(m+i,M)} \le \frac{\sigma(m+i)}{m+i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1.$$

Since $m+i$ $(1 \le i \le k-1)$ are abundant numbers, we have

$$2 \le \frac{\sigma(m+i)}{m+i}, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1.$$

It follows that

$$\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \max\left\{\frac{\sigma((m+i,M))}{(m+i,M)}, 2\right\} \le \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\sigma(m+i)}{m+i}.$$

By the definition of s_{p^t}, we have

$$\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\frac{\sigma(m+i)}{m+i}=\prod_{p\mid m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)}\prod_{t=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{s_{p^t}-s_{p^{t+1}}}.$$

If $p^t\le k$, then $p\mid m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)$. Now we split the left product into two parts according to $p^t\le k$ and $p^t>k$:

$$\prod_{p}\prod_{t=1,p^t\le k}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{s_{p^t}-s_{p^{t+1}}} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\prod_{p\mid m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)}\prod_{t=1,p^t>k}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{s_{p^t}-s_{p^{t+1}}}.$$

It is clear that

$$\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}=1+\frac{1}{p}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p^t}<1+\frac{1}{p-1}.$$

Let $T=T_p$ be the integer with $p^{T_p}\le k<p^{T_p+1}$. Since there is at most one integer in $m,m+1,\ldots,m+k-1$ which is divisible by p^{T_p+1}, it follows that $s_{p^{T_p+1}}\le 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{p\mid m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)}\prod_{t=1,p^t>k}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{s_{p^t}-s_{p^{t+1}}}\\
\le\ &\prod_{p\mid m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)}\prod_{t=1,p^t>k}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{s_{p^t}-s_{p^{t+1}}}\\
=\ &\prod_{p\mid m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)}\left(1+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{s_{p^{T_p+1}}}\\
\le\ &\prod_{p\mid m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)}\left(1+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)\\
=\ &\frac{m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)}{\phi(m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that (see [16, Theorem 328]),

$$\phi(n)\gg\frac{n}{\log\log n},$$

where $\gg$ is the Vinogradov symbol, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{p|m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)} \prod_{t=1,p^t>k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{s_{p^t}-s_{p^{t+1}}} \\
\leq\ & \frac{m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)}{\phi(m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1))} \\
\ll\ & \log\log(m(m+1)\cdots(m+k-1)) \\
\ll\ & \log\log(x^k) \\
\ll\ & \log k + \log\log x \\
\ll\ & (\log k)\log\log x,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\ll$ is also the Vinogradov symbol.

Now we deal with the product (2.2).

By (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{p^t} - s_{p^{t+1}} &= \frac{1}{p^t}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)k + R_{p^t} - R_{p^{t+1}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p^t}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)k + 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $T_p = 0$ for $p > k$ and $T_p \leq 2\log k$ for any prime p, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{p} \prod_{t=1,p^t\leq k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{s_{p^t}-s_{p^{t+1}}} \\
\leq\ & \prod_{p\leq k} \prod_{t=1}^{T_p} \left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^t}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)k+2} \\
\leq\ & \prod_{p} \prod_{t=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^t}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)k} \cdot \prod_{p\leq k} \prod_{t=1}^{T_p} \left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{2} \\
\leq\ & \prod_{p} \prod_{t=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^t}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)k} \cdot \prod_{p\leq k} \left(1+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{4\log k} \\
=\ & \beta^k \prod_{p\leq k} \left(1+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)^{4\log k} \\
\leq\ & \beta^k (c\log k)^{4\log k},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is due to Mertens' theorem (see [16, Theorem 429]) and

c is a positive constant. Hence

$$\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \max\left\{\frac{\sigma((m+i,M))}{(m+i,M)}, 2\right\} \ll \beta^k (c\log k)^{4\log k+1} \log\log x.$$

Recall that

$$\delta_M = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M} \max\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)}, 2\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \max\left\{\frac{\sigma((m+i,M))}{(m+i,M)}, 2\right\} \\ \geq & \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M} \max\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)}, 2\right\}\right)^{k/M-1} \\ \gg_M & \delta_M^k, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\delta_M^k \ll_M \beta^k (c\log k)^{4\log k+1} \log\log x.$$

Noting $\beta < 2 \leq \delta_M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k &\leq (\log\delta_M - \log\beta + o(1))^{-1} \log\log\log x \\ &= (\rho_1(M) + o(1)) \log\log\log x. \end{aligned}$$

3 The lower bound of $E(x)$

In this section we prove that, for any given positive integer M,

$$E(x) \geq (\rho_2(M) + o(1)) \log\log\log x$$

for all sufficient large x, where

$$\rho_2(M) = (\log 2 - \log\tau_M)^{-1},$$

$$\tau_M = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M} \min\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)}, 2\right\}\right)^{1/M}.$$

Let M be any given positive integer and let $q_1, q_2, \ldots$ be all primes in increasing order which are greater than M. Let

$$A = \prod_{M<p<\frac{1}{2}\log x} p.$$

By Mertens' theorem (see [16, Theorem 429]), we have

$$\frac{\sigma(A)}{A} = \prod_{M<p<\frac{1}{2}\log x} \left(1+\frac{1}{p}\right) \geq c_M \log\log x, \tag{3.1}$$

where c_M is a positive constant depending only on M.

Let $j_0 = 0$. For any integer $l \geq 1$, let

$$a_l = (l, M) q_{j_{l-1}+1} \cdots q_{j_l} := (l, M) b_l,$$

where j_l is the least integer with $j_l \geq j_{l-1} + 1$ and $\sigma(a_l) \geq 2a_l$.

Since $((l, M), b_l) = 1$, it follows that

$$\frac{\sigma(a_l)}{a_l} = \frac{\sigma(b_l)}{b_l} \frac{\sigma((l, M))}{(l, M)}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(a_l)}{a_l} &< \max\left\{2, \frac{\sigma((l, M))}{(l, M)}\right\}\left(1+\frac{1}{q_{j_l}}\right) \\ &\leq \max\left\{2, \frac{\sigma((l, M))}{(l, M)}\right\}\left(1+\frac{1}{l}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let k be the integer with

$$b_1 b_2 \cdots b_k \leq A < b_1 b_2 \cdots b_{k+1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(A)}{A} &< \frac{\sigma(b_1)}{b_1} \cdots \frac{\sigma(b_{k+1})}{b_{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{\sigma(a_1)}{a_1} \cdots \frac{\sigma(a_{k+1})}{a_{k+1}} \frac{(1, M)}{\sigma((1, M))} \cdots \frac{(k+1, M)}{\sigma((k+1, M))} \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \max\left\{2, \frac{\sigma((i, M))}{(i, M)}\right\} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(1+\frac{1}{i}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{(i, M)}{\sigma((i, M))} \\ &= 2^{k+1}(k+2) \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \max\left\{\frac{(i, M)}{\sigma((i, M))}, \frac{1}{2}\right\} \\ &\leq 2^{k+1}(k+2) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M} \max\left\{\frac{(i, M)}{\sigma((i, M))}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right)^{(k+1)/M-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\tau_M = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M} \min\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)}, 2\right\}\right)^{1/M},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\sigma(A)}{A} &\leq 2^{k+1}(k+2)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{M}\max\left\{\frac{(i,M)}{\sigma((i,M))}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}\right)^{(k+1)/M-1}\\ &\ll_M 2^{k+1}k\tau_M^{-k}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.1) that

$$c_M \log\log x \leq \frac{\sigma(A)}{A} \ll_M 2^{k+1}k\tau_M^{-k}.$$

Noting that $\tau_M < 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}k &\geq (\log 2 - \log\tau_M + o(1))^{-1}\log\log\log x\\ &= (\rho_2(M) + o(1))\log\log\log x.\end{aligned}$$

Although we can prove that $k \ll \log\log\log x$, in order to avoid unnecessary arguments, we prefer to write

$$k' = \min\{k,\ 2\lfloor\rho_2(M)\log\log\log x\rfloor\}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\lfloor z\rfloor$ denotes the integral part of real number z.

Now we prove that there are k' consecutive abundant numbers not exceeding x. It follows that

$$E(x) \geq (\rho_2(M) + o(1))\log\log\log x.$$

By the Chinese remainder theorem (see [16, Theorem 121]), there exists a positive integer $m \leq Mb_1\cdots b_{k'}$ such that $m \equiv 0 \pmod M$ and

$$m + i \equiv 0 \pmod{b_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, k'.$$

Now we prove that $m+1, m+2, \ldots, m+k'$ are consecutive abundant numbers which do not exceed x.

By the prime number theorem (see [16, Theorem 6]), we have

$$\log(k'MA) < k'M + \log A < k'M + \frac{2}{3}\log x < \log x$$

for all sufficiently large x. It follows that

$$m + k' \le mk' \le k'Mb_1 \cdots b_{k'} \le k'MA < x$$

for all sufficiently large x.

For $1 \le i \le k'$, by $m \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$ we have $(i, M) \mid m$ and then $(i, M) \mid m+i$. Noting that $b_i \mid m + i$ and $((i, M), b_i) = 1$, we have $(i, M)b_i \mid m + i$. It follows that $a_i \mid m + i$. Since a_i $(1 \le i \le k')$ are abundant numbers, it follows that

$$m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, m + k'$$

are consecutive abundant numbers.

4 $\rho_1(M) = \rho_2(M) + o(1)$ for infinitely many M

Let U be a large integer and let

$$M_U = \prod_{p<U} p^U.$$

In this section we prove that

$$\rho_1(M_U) = \rho_2(M_U) + o(1). \tag{4.1}$$

Recall that

$$\rho_1(M) = (\log \delta_M - \log \beta)^{-1}$$

and

$$\rho_2(M) = (\log 2 - \log \tau_M)^{-1},$$

it is enough to prove that

$$\delta_{M_U} \tau_{M_U} = 2\beta + o_U(1). \tag{4.2}$$

Let $M = M_U$. For any prime $p < U$ and any positive integer $t \le U$, let v_{p^t} be the number of integers in $1, 2, \ldots, M$ which are divisible by p^t. Then

$$v_{p^t} = \frac{M}{p^t}.$$

Let $v_{p^{U+1}} = 0$ for any prime $p < U$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{M_U}\tau_{M_U} &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M}\max\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)},2\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{M}\min\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)},2\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}\\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M}\max\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)},2\right\}\min\left\{\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)},2\right\}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}\\
&= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{M}\left(2\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}\\
&= 2\left(\prod_{i=1}^{M}\frac{\sigma((i,M))}{(i,M)}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}\\
&= 2\left(\prod_{p<U}\prod_{t=1}^{U}\left(\frac{\sigma(p^t)}{p^t}\right)^{v_{p^t}-v_{p^{t+1}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{M}}\\
&= 2\prod_{p<U}\prod_{t=1}^{U}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p^t}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^t}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}\\
&\quad\cdot\prod_{p<U}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p^{U+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{U+1}}}\\
&= 2\beta+o_U(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\log\prod_{p<U}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p^{U+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{U+1}}}\\
<\ &\sum_{p<U}\frac{1}{p^{U+1}}\log\left(1+\frac{1}{p-1}\right)\\
<\ &\sum_{p<U}\frac{1}{p^{U+1}(p-1)}\\
<\ &\frac{1}{p^U}\sum_{p}\frac{1}{p(p-1)}\\
=\ &o_U(1)
\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\prod_{p<U}\left(1+\frac{1}{p}+\cdots+\frac{1}{p^{U+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{U+1}}} = 1+o_U(1).$$

Thus we have proved that (4.2) holds and so does (4.1).

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We have proved that, for any given positive integer M,

$$E(x) \le (\rho_1(M) + o(1)) \log\log\log x$$

and

$$E(x) \ge (\rho_2(M) + o(1)) \log\log\log x$$

for all sufficient large x. In order to obtain the optimal upper bound and the optimal lower bound of $E(x)$, we should choose M_1 and M_2 such that $\rho_1(M_1)$ is as small as possible and $\rho_2(M_2)$ is as large as possible. Let

$$\rho_1 = \inf \rho_1(M), \quad \rho_2 = \sup \rho_2(M).$$

Then

$$\rho_2 + o(1) \le \frac{E(x)}{\log\log\log x} \le \rho_1 + o(1).$$

So $\rho_2 \le \rho_1$.

Now we prove that $\rho_2 \ge \rho_1$.

Let U be a large integer and M_U be as in the previous section. Then

$$\rho_1 \le \rho_1(M_U), \quad \rho_2 \ge \rho_2(M_U).$$

Since

$$\rho_1(M_U) = \rho_2(M_U) + o(1),$$

it follows that

$$\rho_1 \le \rho_1(M_U) = \rho_2(M_U) + o(1) \le \rho_2 + o(1).$$

This implies that $\rho_1 \le \rho_2$. Therefore, $\rho_2 = \rho_1$ and then

$$\frac{E(x)}{\log\log\log x} = \rho_2 + o(1) = (\log \varpi)^{-1} + o(1).$$

This completes the proof of our main theorem.

Acknowledgments. The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 11371195 and a project funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

References

[1] L. Alaoglu and P. Erdős, On highly composite and similar numbers, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 56, (1944), 448–469.

[2] M. R. Avidon, On the distribution of primitive abundant numbers, Acta Arith. 77 (1996), 195–205.

[3] F. Behrend, Über numeri abundantes, Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Sitzungsber 21/23 (1932), 322–328.

[4] F. Behrend, Über numeri abundantes, II, Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Sitzungsber 6 (1933), 280–293.

[5] K. Briggs, Abundant numbers and the Riemann hypothesis, Experiment. Math. 15 (2006), 251–256.

[6] S. Chowla, On abundant numbers, J. Indian Math. Soc. 1 (1934), 41–44.

[7] G. L. Cohen, Primitive α-abundant numbers, Math. Comp. 43 (1984), 263–270.

[8] H. Davenport, über numeri abundantes, S.-Ber. Preu. Akad. Wiss., math.-nat. Kl. (1933), 830–837.

[9] L. E. Dickson, Finiteness of the odd perfect and primitive abundant numbers with n distinct prime factors, Amer. J. Math. 35 (1913), 413–422.

[10] L. E. Dickson, Even abundant numbers, Amer. J. Math. 35 (1913), 423–426.

[11] P. Erdős, On the density of the abundant numbers, J. London Math. Soc. 9 (1934), 278–282.

[12] P. Erdős, On primitive abundant numbers, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1935), 49–58.

[13] P. Erdős, Note on consecutive abundant numbers, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1935), 128–131.

[14] P. Erdős, Remarks on number theory. I. On primitive α-abundant numbers, Acta Arith. 5 (1958), 25–33.

[15] P. Erdős, On abundant-like numbers, Canad. Math. Bull. 17 (1974), 599–602.

[16] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An Introduction to the theory of numbers, Oxford Univ. Press 1979.

[17] M. Kobayashi, A new series for the density of abundant numbers, Int. J. Number Theory 10 (2014), 73–84

[18] M. Kobayashi and P. Pollack, The error term in the count of abundant numbers, Mathematika 60 (2014), 43–65.

[19] H. N. Shapiro, On primitive abundant numbers, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 21 (1968), 111–118.